

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.

- Project Listings:

- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHPD Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.

- Consolidations and Expansions Forms – must be completed if the CoC is consolidating eligible renewal projects or applying for new projects to expand the operations of eligible renewal projects.

- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New, CoC Renewal, YHPD Renewal, YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listings – all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.

- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps. Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website

1. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Collaborative Applicant Name: Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency (FLACRA)

2. Reallocation

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2027 to create one or more new projects? Yes

Alert:

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- CoCs may reallocate YHDP projects from any Round to create new YHDP Replacement projects.
- If a CoC reallocates funding from a renewal project that was previously awarded DV Bonus funding, any new project created with such funding must be 100 percent dedicated to serving individuals and families who are fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking and who qualify as homeless under paragraphs (1) or (4) of the definition of homeless at 24 CFR 578.3 or Section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

2A. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal or YHDP renewal project funds to create new project application(s) may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible projects.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated CoC Renewal Projects)					
\$48,123					
Amount Available for New YHDP Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated YHDP Restricted Projects)					
\$0					
Amount Available for New DV Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated DV Restricted Projects)					
\$0					
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Transition Grant
Success Center TH...	NY1154L2C132507	JOINT	CoC Renewal	\$48,123	No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Success Center TH-RRH

Grant Number of Eliminated Project: NY1154L2C132507

Eliminated Project Component Type: JOINT

Funding Type: CoC Renewal

Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$48,123

2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified.
(limit 2500 characters)

Wayne County Action Program, Inc. made the mutual decision with the CoC to reallocate its Success Center TH-RRH project. The project was reviewed with the HUD Buffalo Field Office regarding CoC eligibility requirements and its budget structure. Changes were made, and the CoC and Wayne County Action Program, Inc. were in discussions regarding use of the Transition Grant option to move the project to a Transitional Housing model; however, it was determined that because Wayne County Action Program, Inc. owned the building in which the transitional housing units were located, the proposed project structure was ineligible.

Based on this determination, the CoC and Wayne County Action Program, Inc. mutually agreed to reallocate the project. Wayne County Action Program, Inc. was notified of the CoC's final project ranking and reallocation decision on August 7, 2026.

When HUD reopened the FY2026 CoC Competition, HUD subsequently issued a waiver of 24 CFR 578.51(b) permitting private nonprofit applicants, recipients, and subrecipients to administer rental assistance. This waiver potentially addressed the eligibility issue affecting the Success Center project; however, it was issued after NY-513 had completed its local review, ranking, and project notification process.

NY-513 remains a strong partner with Wayne County Action Program, Inc. and will continue to explore future project opportunities for CoC funding in FY2027 and beyond.

All other projects performed well and were ranked accordingly. NY-513 used the reallocated funds from the Success Center project, along with available bonus funding, to create a new Transitional Housing project consistent with HUD's FY2026 funding priorities.

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications? No

2B. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal and YHDP Renewal project funds to create new project applications may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced CoC Projects)								
\$0								
Amount available for New YHDP Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced YHDP Projects)								
\$0								
Amount available for New DV Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced DV Projects)								
\$0								
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for YHDP Project	Amount available for DV Project	Amount available for New Project	Reallocation Type
This list contains no items								

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based on the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously.

To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details.

To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder.

If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility to ensure all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

EX1_Project_List_Status_field List Updated Successfully

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Funding Type	Transition Grant	Expansion	Rank	PSH/RRH
FLACR A Transition...	2026-09-24 16:47:...	TH	Finger Lakes Addi...	\$175,237	1 Year	Bonus + Reallocation	No	No	3	

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

☒

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

☒

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

EX1_Project_List_Status_field List Updated Successfully

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Consolidation	Accepted?	Comp Type	Rank	Expansion	PSH/RH	Consolidation Type
HMIS FY2026	2026-09-24 15:10:...	1 Year	Finger Lakes Addi...	\$22,176		Yes	HMIS	1			
Shelter Plus Care...	2026-09-25 10:10:...	1 Year	Finger Lakes Addi...	\$179,140		Yes	PH	4		PSH	
Wayne County PSH ...	2026-09-25 10:15:...	1 Year	Finger Lakes Addi...	\$140,494		Yes	PH	2		PSH	

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

EX1_Project_List_Status_field List Updated Successfully

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
CoC Planning Proj...	2026-09-24 16:57:...	1 Year	Finger Lakes Addi...	\$80,845	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications are competitive and must be ranked.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.

☐

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

☐

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.

☒

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted ?	Rank	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
This list contains no items									

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project and YHDP Reallocation project applications, submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the projects simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications are competitive and must be ranked.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Applicant Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Expansion	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Funding Type	PSH/RRH	Rank
This list contains no items									

4. Consolidation & Expansion

Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions on HUD's website.

1. Is the CoC consolidating renewal projects that will expire in Calendar Year 2027? No
2. Is the CoC expanding renewal projects that will expire in Calendar Year 2027? No

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked or rejected new and renewal project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
CoC Renewal Amount	\$341,810
New CoC Bonus and CoC Reallocation Amount	\$175,237
New DV Bonus Amount	\$0
New DV Reallocation Amount	\$0
YHDP Renewal and Replacement Amount	\$0
YHDP Reallocation Amount	\$0
CoC Planning Amount	\$80,845
Rejected Amount	\$0
Total Funding Request	\$597,892

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	Certification of ...	09/27/2026
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No	FY2026 Scoring Ru...	09/25/2026
Other	No	Ranking Protocol	09/25/2026
Other	No	NY-513 Final Ranking	09/27/2026

Attachment Details

Document Description: Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

Attachment Details

Document Description: FY2026 Scoring Rubrics

Attachment Details

Document Description: Ranking Protocol

Attachment Details

Document Description: NY-513 Final Ranking

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY 2026 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of the CoC Priority Listing AND the CoC Application by the FY 2026 Application Submission Deadline.

WARNING: The FY 2026 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of the CoC Priority Listing AND the CoC Application by the FY 2026 Application Submission Deadline.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1. Collaborative Applicant	09/25/2026
2. Reallocation	09/25/2026
2A. Grant(s) Eliminated	09/27/2026
2B. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required
3A. CoC New Project Listing	09/25/2026
3B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/25/2026
3D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/25/2026
3E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	No Input Required
Project Priority List FY2026	Page 19
	09/27/2026

3F. YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing	No Input Required
4. Consolidation & Expansion	09/25/2026
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	09/27/2026
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Public Reporting Burden Statement: This collection of information is estimated to average 3 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of the requested information. Comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden can be sent to: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of the Chief Data Officer, R, 451 7th St SW, Room 8210, Washington, DC 20410-5000. Do not send completed forms to this address. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection displays a valid OMB control number. This agency is authorized to collect this information under Section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989. The information you provide will enable HUD to carry out its responsibilities under this Act and ensure greater accountability and integrity in the provision of certain types of assistance administered by HUD. This information is required to obtain the benefit sought in the grant program. Failure to provide any required information may delay the processing of your application and may result in sanctions and penalties including of the administrative and civil money penalties specified under 24 CFR §4.38. This information will not be held confidential and may be made available to the public in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. §552). The information contained on the form is not retrieved by a personal identifier, therefore it does not meet the threshold for a Privacy Act Statement.

I/We, the undersigned, also certify under penalty of perjury that the information provided below is true, correct, and accurate. Warning: Anyone who knowingly submits a false claim or makes a false statement is subject to criminal and/or civil penalties, including confinement for up to 5 years, fines, and civil and administrative penalties (18 U.S.C §§ 287, 1001, 1010, 1012, 1014; 31 U.S.C. § 3729, 3802; 24 CFR § 28.10(b)(1)(iii)).

I/We, the undersigned, certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan. (Complete the fields below.)

Applicant Name:

Project Name:

Location of the Project:

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction
Name:

Title:

Signature:

Date:

**NY-513 Continuum of Care Local Competition
Final Ranking**

Tier	Ranking	Application Score	Agency Name	Project Name	Project Type	Project Status	Renewal / New	CoC Funding Requested	Reallocated Funds +/-
Tier 1									
	1	Not scored per CoC policies	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	HMIS	HMIS	Accepted	Renewal	\$22,176.00	-
	2	127	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Wayne County Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	Accepted	Renewal	\$140,494.00	-
	3 (straddles)	121	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Transitional Housing	TH	Accepted	New	\$71,290.00	+\$48,123.00*
Tier 2									
	3 (straddles)	121	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Transitional Housing	TH	Accepted	New	\$103,947.00	-
	4	118	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Shelter Plus Care for the Chronically Homeless	PSH	Accepted	Renewal	\$179,140.00	-
Reallocated									
	N/A	N/A - reallocated prior to ranking	Wayne County Action Program, Inc.	Success Center TH-RRH	Joint TH-RRH	Fully Reallocated	Renewal	\$0.00	-\$48,123.00
Unranked									
	N/A	N/A	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Planning Grant		Accepted	New	\$80,845.00	-
			Totals						\$597,892

Total Amount Requested from HUD	\$597,892.00
Estimated ARD	\$389,933.00
PPRN	\$1,616,907.00
FPRN	\$1,616,907.00
DV Bonus	\$323,381.00
CoC Bonus	\$500,000.00
CoC Planning	\$80,845.00
UFA Costs	\$48,507.00
Tier 1	\$233,960.00



CoC NY-513 - FLACRA

2026 New Project Application Scoring Rubric

Applications are due July 15, 2026 by 5pm

Single Project Applications must be submitted in a single PDF via email to Bob Doeblin:

rdoeblin@genevaha.com

E-Snaps applications are due August 11, 2026 by 5pm

Agency and Project Information

Organization Name:	
Project Name:	

Contact Person Phone Number Email	

Amount Requesting	Projected Number of People Served
\$	

Project/Component Type (check correct box)

Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	☐
Rapid Rehousing (RRH)	☐
Transitional Housing (TH)	☐
Expansion Project for the above checked	☐
Support Services Only - Standalone (SSO)	☐

Support Services Only - Street Outreach (SSO)	☐
---	--------------------------

Threshold Requirements: All projects are required to meet or agree with these requirements to be considered for funding

The agency has reviewed all HUD priorities outlined on pages 106-108 of the NOFO and agrees to abide by them.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Awards under this NOFO will not be used to engage in illegal racial discrimination, including racial preferences.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Awards made under this NOFO will not be used to fund any project, service provider, or organization that operates illegal drug injection sites of “safe consumption sites” in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 856, knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control in violation of 21 U.S.C. 856(a)(2), or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia in violation of 21 USC 863. This is not a requirement that program participants must be sober in order to receive assistance, participate in treatment in order to receive assistance, or be evicted or exited from assistance for a first-time violation of a drug-related program policy or lease requirement.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Awards under this NOFO will not be distributed in a way that violates or otherwise is used to interfere with constitutional protections guaranteed for speech and religious beliefs and the free exercise of religion.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.332(b)(2), all agreements or contracts made with subrecipients under this NOFO must contain the same terms and conditions as those in the grant agreement issued by HUD. Any conflicting terms and conditions must be approved by HUD.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Applicant is a non-profit organization, including a faith-based organization, with active 501(c)3 status, a public housing authority, a local government organization, or another eligible entity as specified in the NOFO. (Please see page 9 of the NOFO for a detailed listing of eligible applicants.)	☐ Yes ☐ No
If applying for TH (rental assistance), are you a local government, state government, or PHA, or partnering with one of these three entities to administer rental assistance funds?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Applicant agrees to uphold protections of VAWA (§ 5.2005 VAWA protections), including: confidentiality protections, use of emergency transfer plans, and regular staff training regarding VAWA.	☐ Yes ☐ No
Applicant agrees to use our Continuum’s Homeless Management Information System-HMIS (or comparable database if Domestic Violence-DV)	☐ Yes ☐ No

Applicant agrees to engage in technical assistance with FLACRA, when needed, and monthly program meetings to review data errors, case management documentation, services, utilization, spend down, and outcomes.	☐ Yes ☐ No
CoC Membership: The applicant has a current Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with CoC NY-513 or is submitting an MOU with this application. (Templates will be posted on the CoC section of FLACRA's website.)	☐ Yes ☐ No
Applicant agrees to use the Coordinated Entry System to fill 100% of beds	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
Applicant has attached the management letter from the agency's most recent fiscal audit demonstrating that the agency is in good standing.	☐ Yes ☐ No
Will the agency participate in the local Point In Time (PIT) Count?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Will the agency participate in half or more CoC subcommittee meetings in the past 12 months?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If your agency is applying for PSH, RRH, or TH funding, does your project have a kitchen and bathroom in the unit per HQS and NSPIRE standards? If not, do you intend to seek a waiver from HUD?	☐ Yes ☐ No If not, ☐ Yes ☐ No

If the answer is no to any of the above questions, please explain below, on the next page. (limit to 250 words)

If agency does not meet threshold requirements, stop scoring. Agency is not eligible for CoC funding.

Population(s) Served

Specialty Populations Served: (See pages 81-83 of the NOFO)	☐ Children and youth (3 pts) ☐ Families (2 pts) ☐ Veterans (2 pts) ☐ Survivors of domestic violence, dating violence,
---	--

	sexual assault, and stalking (2 pts) ☐ Justice System Re-entry (2 pts) ☐ High utilizers of healthcare systems (2 pts) ☐ Aging and elderly (2 pts) ☐ Chronically homeless (CH) (2 pts)
Please describe the agency's unique ability to serve the above population(s) and share any relevant data to support your response. (Please limit to 250 words.) (5 pts)	
4-5 points: Discusses the population served and the agency's ability to best serve this population with the funding requested. Provides multiple data points to support response. Demonstrates a history of serving this population. 2-3 points: Limited description with limited data provided. 0-1 points: Population served description is not clear or fully thought out. No data is provided.	
Will the project require program participant engagement in supportive services (i.e., substance use and/or mental health treatment, etc.) as a condition of continued participation in the program? (5 pts)	☐ Yes ☐ No 4-5 points: Supportive service agreement provided. Addresses pathways to supportive services. 2-3 points: Limited description, no service agreement shared. 0-1 points: Will not require supportive services (for PSH/RRH only; TH is required).

Narrative Questions

Current, or Past Performance: 5 Points	If your agency currently receives, or has received Continuum of Care (CoC) funding in the past, have any of your programs fallen into Tier 2 or been reallocated? If yes, please describe why, and what changes have you implemented or plan to implement to ensure that this project meets the minimum HUD and CoC requirements?
4-5 points: Agency demonstrates experience operating housing programs, addresses any issues with past performance issues with plan to improve in the future. Application uses currently funded housing project data to demonstrate capacity. 2-3 points: Has some experience operating housing programs. 0-1 points: Agency has little or no experience operating housing programs.	
Program Design: 5 points	Describe how the type of housing or supportive services proposed. For housing, please include the number and configuration of units, where applicable, the needs of the program participants, and outline whether the project will be site-

	based or scattered-site. (please limit to 250 words) For supportive services, please provide a general description of the program, including the population served. Please indicate whether the project will serve any specialized populations. (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Description of project aligns with program requirements. 2-3 points: Components are missing from program design or program design not well thought-out. 0-1 points: Narrative does not address design elements outlined in the question or critical information is lacking from narrative response.	
Supportive Services (PSH, RRH, TH only): 10 points	Describe how the project will assess participants' service needs and develop individualized service plans with them that provide tailored supportive services (except for eligible exemptions-over age 62, or defined in 24CFR8.3, or defined in 24CFR578.3) that are necessary to assist program participants to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing that fits their needs (such as transportation, safety planning, enhance case management) and are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain long term housing stability (min 2 years post exit?) successfully exiting homelessness. ● Include how service plans will identify services, providers, frequency of service delivery, participant goals, strategies, and target dates related to health and wellness, housing stability, employment, financial stability, and self-sufficiency. If not on-site, provide the partnerships and corresponding MOUs for providing this care. ● For TH Project Only: How will your project ensure at least 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities, education, training, volunteering, or employment. ● Expansion PSH, RRH only: If this is a PSH, or RRH expansion project also include how the project will expand supportive services to participants, including on-site supportive services where appropriate. (Please limit to 500 words)
8-10 points: Supportive services are provided on-site, agency policies demonstrate shared services for participants. Description is provided on how this occurs within the agency and shows history of care. 5-7 points: Supportive services are provided off-site, but MOUs and partnership agreements are shared with this application. Description of linkages is strong and shows history of care. 2-4 points: Supportive services will be provided off-site, no MOUs or partnership agreements are	

shared with this application. Can provide narrative of referrals. 0-1 points: Does not fully respond to the question, no MOUs or partnership agreements are shared, can't provide narrative of referrals, but can demonstrate a plan to provide this requirement.	
Supportive Services Continued (PSH, RRH, TH only): 5 points	Demonstrate that this project requires participation in supportive services per 24 CFR 578.75(h), including supporting agreement language, and ensuring VAWA protections under 24 CFR 5.2005(b)(1) are upheld.
5 points: Clearly outlines the supportive services participation, includes VAWA language, and has attached a copy or copies of the participant agreement(s). 3-4 points: Outlines services, includes VAWA language, but provides less detail or a less compelling argument 1-2-points: Gives minimal to basic information, lacks specificity or is not a compelling argument 0 points: No response, or the response does not address the question.	
Community and HUD Federal Need: 5 points	Using local data on homelessness, how does this project support CoC NY-513 and HUD's goal of ending homelessness for all persons? Please include the agency's unique ability to serve the population and share any relevant data to support your response. (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Narrative uses local HMIS data to support project goals. Rationale for project is clearly related to HMIS data. Agency has demonstrated leadership in serving this population. 2-3 points: Either narrative does not use HMIS data OR Agency does not demonstrate evidence of leadership in serving population. 0-1 points: Narrative does not use HMIS/CoC NY 513 data AND agency does not demonstrate evidence of leadership in serving proposed population.	
Cost Effectiveness: 10 points	Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable according to 2CFR200.404. (please limit to 250 words)
10 points: Fully demonstrates cost reasonableness under 2 CFR 200.404. Clearly calculates the average cost per household and provides strong, transparent justification tied to program design, service intensity, staffing, and participant needs. Uses relevant comparisons (e.g., similar CoC projects, local market rates, housing/service costs, or prior performance data). Clearly shows costs are necessary, allocable, and efficient. 8-9 points: Provides a clear cost calculation and solid justification for reasonableness. May include some comparative or contextual data, but not fully comprehensive or deeply detailed. Strong alignment with allowable and necessary costs. 6-7 points: Includes the average cost per household and a general explanation of reasonableness. Some connection to program design or service needs is present, but limited supporting data or benchmarking. 4-5 points: Mentions cost per household but provides weak or generic justification. Limited explanation of why costs are reasonable under federal standards. Minimal context or supporting	

rationale. 2–3 points: Cost information is unclear, incomplete, or not meaningfully justified. Little to no connection to 2 CFR 200.404 reasonableness standard. 1 point: Provides minimal cost information and does not demonstrate any understanding of cost reasonableness requirements. 0 points: No response or does not address the question at all.	
Knowledge shared from persons who were homeless in the past: 5 points	How does your program involve people who were formerly homeless (i.e., employment/volunteer opportunities, peer support, mentorship, members of Board/Advisory Committees, etc.)? (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Agency has one or more individual(s) involved with the program who has lived experience 2-3 points: Agency has plans, and can produce evidence of a plan, to ensure one or more individual(s) involved with the program has lived experience 0-1 points: Agency has no individuals involved with the program with lived experience.	
Minimizing Trauma Provision: 5 points	Describe how your program minimizes the trauma associated with homelessness. This could include trauma-informed care services and ensuring participant safety in programs, especially for youth and survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Agency demonstrates the ability to minimize trauma as described in the question above. 2-3 points: Agency has plans, and can produce evidence of a plan, to ensure the program will minimize trauma as described in the question above. 0-1 points: Agency does not have the ability to provide this level of care and does not have a plan in place to provide this level of care.	

**The following 3 questions are project-type specific.
Complete only those that apply to this project application.**

PSH Projects only:

For PSH applicants, how will the project ensure that it is serving homeless individuals or families with a disability in accordance with 24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i) (5 points)
5 points: Clearly describes a compliant, well-defined process to ensure all participants meet both homelessness eligibility and disability documentation requirements under 24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i). Includes specific screening procedures at intake, use of HMIS or coordinated entry verification, required disability documentation sources (e.g., third-party verification, medical records, disability benefits), staff roles responsible for eligibility review, and ongoing compliance monitoring. Demonstrates strong understanding of HUD eligibility rules and prevents ineligible admissions. 4 points: Describes eligibility screening and disability verification processes that are generally compliant. Covers key elements (homeless verification, disability documentation, intake procedures),

but may lack detail on documentation standards, staff oversight, or ongoing monitoring.

3 points: Provides a basic description of intake and eligibility checks, including homelessness and disability, but processes are general or incomplete. Limited detail on documentation requirements or compliance safeguards.

2 points: Mentions eligibility requirements but provides vague or unclear procedures for verifying homelessness or disability. Weak or missing explanation of documentation standards or compliance controls.

1 point: Minimal response that does not meaningfully explain how eligibility will be verified or how compliance with the regulation will be ensured.

0 points: No response or does not address the question.

RRH Projects only:

For RRH projects: Please describe how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve and maintain self-sufficiency within 24 months. (5 points)

5 points: Clearly explains how TBRA supports rapid housing stability and long-term self-sufficiency within 24 months. Describes a structured approach to subsidy reduction or transition (if applicable), coordinated supportive services, and individualized goal planning (e.g., employment, income growth, benefits acquisition). Demonstrates how participants will increase income, reduce reliance on assistance, and maintain housing independently. Includes specific service strategies, timelines, and measurable outcomes tied to self-sufficiency.

4 points: Describes how TBRA promotes housing stability and self-sufficiency, with some discussion of supportive services and participant goals. May lack detailed timelines, measurable outcomes, or clear transition planning.

3 points: Provides a general explanation of how TBRA helps participants maintain housing and work toward independence, but limited detail on structure, services, or the 24-month self-sufficiency expectation.

2 points: Mentions housing assistance and self-sufficiency but does not clearly explain how TBRA leads to independence within 24 months. Weak or missing discussion of services or outcomes.

1 point: Minimal response with little connection between TBRA and self-sufficiency goals.

0 points: No response or does not address the question.

TH and RRH Projects only:

TH and RRH: Please describe the success of your agency's current housing program(s) in helping homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months or describe how your agency plans to ensure that individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months. (please limit to 250 words) (5 points)

5 points: Clearly demonstrates strong performance or a well-defined plan to achieve exits from homelessness within 24 months. If describing current programs, includes specific outcomes (e.g., housing placement rates, average time to housing, retention rates, or exit destinations). If describing future approach, provides a structured strategy with clear timelines and measurable performance targets. Shows strong alignment between services, case management, and housing outcomes.

4 points: Provides a clear description of program success or strategy with some outcome data or performance indicators. May lack depth in metrics, specificity in timelines, or detailed explanation of how success is achieved.

3 points: Offers a general description of housing program success or planned approach. Limited or no concrete performance data, and strategy for achieving 24-month exits is broad or partially developed.

2 points: Mentions housing assistance or goals but provides little evidence of success or a weak/unclear plan for ensuring 24-month exits.

1 point: Minimal response with little connection to housing outcomes or 24-month exit requirement.

0 points: No response or does not address the question.

SSO - Street Outreach Projects only:

Encampments: (4 points)	Describe how the applicant will assist with efforts to quickly clear tents and encampments on public property and connect individuals who are camping in public with appropriate services. (please limit to 250 words)
4 points: Response provides a comprehensive, well-developed strategy for assisting with encampment resolution through coordinated outreach and engagement. Clearly describes how individuals will be identified and engaged, connected to housing, emergency shelter, behavioral health, and other supportive services, and transitioned from encampments to stable housing. Demonstrates strong partnerships with local governments and service providers, includes a participant-centered approach, and provides evidence of organizational capacity or prior success. 2-3 points: Response adequately addresses the prompt and describes a clear outreach and service connection strategy. Includes coordination with partners and identifies key supportive services, but lacks detail, specificity, or evidence in one or more areas. 0-1 points: Response may partially address the prompt but provides only a general or limited description of outreach, service coordination, or housing connection strategies. Omits several important elements or lacks a clear implementation plan.	
Illicit Drug Use: (4 points)	Describe how the applicant will assist with efforts to decrease the public use of illicit drugs and quickly connect individuals who are using illicit drugs in public with appropriate services and/or law enforcement. (please limit to 250 words)
4 points: Response provides a comprehensive, well-developed strategy for reducing public illicit drug use through coordinated outreach, engagement, and rapid connection to appropriate services. Clearly describes how individuals will be identified and connected to behavioral health treatment, substance use disorder services, crisis intervention, housing, and other supportive services, as appropriate. Explains coordination with law enforcement, first responders, and community partners when appropriate, while demonstrating a participant-centered, evidence-informed approach and	

organizational capacity to implement the strategy. 2-3 points: Response adequately addresses the prompt and describes a clear strategy for connecting individuals to appropriate services and coordinating with law enforcement or community partners. The response is well organized but lacks detail, specificity, or supporting evidence in one or more areas. 0-1 points: Response may partially address the prompt but provides only a general description of outreach, service coordination, or law enforcement collaboration. Omits several important elements or lacks a clear implementation plan for reducing public illicit drug use and connecting individuals to services.	
Addressing Immediate Danger: (3 points)	Describe how the applicant will assist with efforts to address homeless individuals who are a danger to themselves or others (e.g., involuntary commitment). (please limit to 250 words)
3 points: Response provides a comprehensive, well-developed strategy for identifying and responding to homeless individuals who may be a danger to themselves or others. Clearly describes coordination with behavioral health providers, crisis response teams, emergency medical services, and/or law enforcement, as appropriate. Explains procedures for crisis intervention, referral to treatment, involuntary commitment when legally appropriate, and connection to ongoing housing and supportive services. Demonstrates organizational capacity and strong partnerships to implement the proposed approach. 2 points: Response addresses the prompt but lacks some detail or specificity to their strategy. Describes some coordination with behavioral health providers, crisis responders, or law enforcement but lacks sufficient detail regarding implementation, partnerships, referral processes, or ongoing service coordination. 1 point: Response provides only a general or partially developed strategy. Fails to describe a meaningful strategy for responding to homeless individuals who may be a danger to themselves or others or does not adequately address coordination, crisis intervention, or connection to appropriate services. 0 points: Response is incomplete, vague, or largely nonresponsive.	
Sharing Information: (3 points)	Describe how the applicant will assist with efforts to comprehensively share information, including location information, in accordance with the Sex Offender Registry and Notification Act (SORNA). (please limit to 250 words)
3 points: Response provides a comprehensive, well-developed plan for sharing information, including location information, in accordance with SORNA requirements. Clearly describes coordination with law enforcement, corrections, and other relevant agencies; outlines procedures for timely, accurate, and legally compliant information sharing; demonstrates strong interagency collaboration; and provides evidence of organizational capacity to implement the proposed approach. 2 points: Response adequately addresses the prompt and describes a clear approach to information sharing and coordination with partner agencies. Demonstrates an understanding of SORNA-related responsibilities but lacks detail, specificity, or supporting evidence in one or more areas. 1 point: Response partially addresses the prompt but provides only a general or limited description of	

information sharing practices. Omits several important elements, such as implementation procedures, coordination with relevant agencies, or compliance considerations. 0 points: Response is incomplete, vague, or largely nonresponsive. Fails to describe a meaningful strategy for sharing information in accordance with SORNA or does not adequately address coordination, legal compliance, or implementation.	
Agency History and Experience with Outreach: (5 points)	Describe your agency's history of experience providing outreach services, or a plan for providing outreach services, consistent with the activity description at 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13) and has a plan for or has demonstrated effectiveness at helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs. (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Describes a strong history of providing outreach services with clear data to support their success or presents a well-developed and comprehensive plan to provide outreach services, shown to be consistent with 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13). Provides clear evidence of effectiveness at helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation or presents a well-developed and comprehensive plan to do so. 2-3 points: Describes a history of providing outreach services but has limited experience and success or presents a plan that lacks specificity and detail. Discusses prior experience or a plan to exit people from places not meant for human habitation but fails to show evidence that they will be successful. 0-1 points: Has no history of providing outreach services and does not have an adequate plan. Does not address how to help people exit from places not meant for human habitation or describes a plan in contradiction to 24 CFR 578.53 (e)(13).	
Participant Engagement: (5 points)	Describe the proposed project's strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants, including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Provides a comprehensive, well-developed strategy for providing supportive services. Specifically presents a clear strategy for engagement of clients with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. 2-3 points: Provides a strategy for providing supportive services, but plan lacks specificity or detail. Discusses serving clients with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services, but fail to specifically show that they have an adequate plan for serving this population. 0-1 points: Does not provide a strategy and does not address participants with histories of unsheltered homelessness or those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.	
First Responder and Law Enforcement Engagement: (1 point)	Describe your agency's history of, or plan for, partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing, or independent living. Please describe how your agency intends to cooperate and not interfere or impede with the enforcement of

	local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws and assist/be willing to assist first responders in their efforts to engage homeless individuals. (please limit to 250 words)
1 point: Demonstrates a history of strong partnership with law enforcement or clearly describes a well-developed plan for partnering with law enforcement to support people living in places not meant for human habitation. Describes how they will cooperate and not interfere with enforcement of local laws. 0 points: Does not demonstrate a history of or a plan to work with law enforcement. Does not indicate how they will not interfere with enforcement of local laws.	

SSO - Standalone Projects only:

Project need 10 points	Using local data on homelessness and other data, please describe why your agency believes the project is necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.) and increasing self-sufficiency.
9-10 points: Provides a compelling, data-driven justification using multiple, current local data sources on homelessness and related community needs. Clearly explains why the project is necessary, demonstrates a strong understanding of local gaps, and explains how the project will increase housing stability and self-sufficiency. 7-8 points: Includes relevant local data and explains the need for the project. Some opportunities exist to strengthen the use of evidence or the connection between identified needs and project activities. 4-6 points: Addresses the prompt but uses minimal or outdated local data. Identifies barriers without fully explaining their impact or how the project addresses them. 0-3 points: Minimal response with vague statements about homelessness. Lacks meaningful data, analysis, or explanation of community needs. Does not adequately connect the project to identified barriers.	
Relationships 10 points	Describe your relationship with shelters and street outreach providers in Ontario, Seneca, Wayne, and Yates Counties, and how this relationship would improve your ability to provide support services to the target population to assist them in exiting homelessness. Please provide MOUs.
9-10 points: Provides a comprehensive description of established relationships with shelters and street outreach providers in Monroe County/City of Rochester. Clearly explains the nature of collaboration and how these partnerships strengthen the agency's ability to help clients exit homelessness and access support services. Includes signed, current MOUs or letters of commitment that clearly define roles and responsibilities.	

7-8 points: Identifies key shelter and outreach partners and explains how collaboration supports the target population. Includes required MOUs, but provides limited detail about coordination, referral processes, or measurable benefits to clients. 4-6 points: Provides a general description of community relationships without demonstrating how they improve service delivery; MOUs may be outdated, incomplete, or only partially address the proposed project. 0-3 points: Limited response with little evidence of established relationships or coordination. Few specifics and no meaningful documentation of partnerships. Does not clearly explain how partnerships support clients exiting homelessness.	
Assessment 5 points	Please provide a copy of the assessment tool that you intend to use to evaluate the initial and ongoing service needs of program participants. Use this space to provide any details about the assessment.
4-5 points: Provides a copy of an appropriate and relevant assessment tool. Clearly explains how they will use the tool to evaluate initial and ongoing needs and explains how it will benefit staff and participants. 2-3 points: Provides a copy of an assessment tool but fails to clearly show why the tool is an appropriate choice or how it will effectively support the needs of staff and participants. 0-1 points: Does not provide an assessment tool or provides a tool that is not related to evaluating the initial and ongoing needs or program participants.	
Strategy 10 points	What is the proposed strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants, including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services? Please include your agency's history of ability to successfully serve this population.
9-10 points: Response provides a comprehensive, well-developed strategy for supportive services. Describes how the project will specifically be able to support participants with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Provides a strong history of serving the target population with measurable evidence of success. 7-8 points: Response addresses most components of the prompt with adequate detail. Strategy and agency experience are generally well described but may lack depth, evidence, or specificity in one or two areas. 4-6 points: Response partially addresses the prompt but lacks sufficient detail regarding supportive services, target populations, or agency experience. Limited evidence of successful implementation is provided. 0-3 points: Response addresses only a small portion of the prompt with little explanation or supporting information.	
Supplemental Resources: 5 Points	Describe how your project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health,

	social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP in order to create self-sufficiency and economic independence. Include MOUs/partnership agreements.
4-5 points: Response clearly explains how a wide variety of external resources will be integrated into the project and how these will lead to self-sufficiency and economic independence. Includes signed, current MOUs or letters of commitment that clearly define roles and responsibilities. 2-3 points: Demonstrates a plan for supplementing the project with external resources but lacks specific details about these partnerships or has limited other partners. Includes required MOUs, but provides limited detail about coordination, referral processes, or measurable benefits to clients. 0-1 points: Does not have a clear plan for how this project will be supplemented with other resources. Few specifics and no meaningful documentation of partnerships. 4-5 points: Response demonstrates a clearly thought-out plan for how the project will be supplemented with a wide variety of other public and private sources. A clear rationale is explained for how the chosen sources will support the program and how linkages will be made. 2-3 points: Response describes a plan for how some external resources will be used to supplement the project, but resources are limited or insufficient. The benefit of the resources listed is not clearly explained. 0-1 points: Response does not provide a plan for how to supplement the project with external resources.	

Performance Measures All Applicants:

Data Quality: 2 points	Describe your commitment to timely data submission and data quality in coordination with the Continuum of Care (CoC).
2 points: Clearly demonstrates a strong commitment to timely and accurate HMIS/data submission in coordination with the CoC. Describes specific procedures for data entry timelines (e.g., real-time or within required CoC standards), data quality monitoring (e.g., routine audits, validation reports, error correction processes), staff roles responsible for data entry and oversight, and participation in CoC data quality initiatives or training. Shows understanding of HMIS requirements and continuous improvement of data accuracy and completeness. 1 point: Indicates general commitment to timely data submission and data quality, but provides limited detail on procedures, timelines, or accountability. Mentions HMIS or CoC coordination but lacks specifics on how data quality is ensured or maintained. 0 points: No meaningful response or does not address timely data submission or data quality in relation to the CoC/HMIS requirements.	
Employment & Income Growth: 10 points	Describe how a minimum of 25% of clients will obtain employment income to maximize their ability to live independently. Has your agency operated a homeless program where at least 50%

	exited with employment income as reflected in HMIS? Or is there a plan to ensure these same outcomes? (please limit to 250 words)
8-10 points: Agency describes their ability and commitment to helping clients in obtaining employment and income resources 5-7 points: Specific strategies are named, but do not include all resource areas. 2-4 points: All three resource areas are described, but specific strategies are not described. Strategies are vague. 0-1 points: Answer is not applicable to the question or does not give any detail.	
Supplemental Resources: 5 points	Describe how your agency plans to supplement this project with resources from other public or private sources, such as mainstream health, social, and employment programs, such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP?
5 points: Clearly describes a well-developed and proactive strategy to connect participants with mainstream and community resources. Includes specific programs (e.g., Medicaid, SSI/SSDI, SNAP, TANF, workforce programs), and explains how staff will assist with enrollment, eligibility determination, referrals, and ongoing access. Demonstrates strong coordination with external agencies and may include MOUs or formal partnerships. Shows how leveraging these resources reduces CoC funding reliance and improves housing stability and self-sufficiency. 4 points: Describes a solid plan to connect participants to mainstream benefits and services, with some detail on referral processes or partnerships. May lack specificity on implementation steps or coordination mechanisms. 3 points: Provides a general description of referrals to mainstream services but limited detail on how enrollment or ongoing access will be ensured. Weak explanation of coordination or system integration. 2 points: Mentions mainstream resources but provides minimal explanation of how participants will be connected to or supported in accessing them. 1 point: Minimal response with little meaningful description of leveraging external resources. 0 points: No response or detail.	
Supportive Service Provision: 10 points	Describe how this project will provide the treatment and services that people need to recover and regain self-sufficiency (including on-site behavioral health treatment, robust wraparound supportive services, and participation requirements for these services). Provide the partnerships and corresponding MOUs for providing this care. (please limit to 250 words)
7-10 points: Agency has actionable practices to provide ongoing supportive services throughout the duration of the project. Examples of practices include centering the participant in goal planning, creative engagement strategies, and case management training. 4-6 points: Agency provides supportive services but has limited examples of specific practices.	

0-3 points: Agency does not have a clear strategy for providing ongoing services.	
Returns to Homelessness: 10 points	How will this project ensure that clients will not return to homelessness within two years after project exit? Describe your plan to ensure minimal to no exits with unknown destinations. (please limit to 250 words)
8-10 points: Describes agency practices relating to supportive services and stability plans. Agency has 'moving on' strategies to ensure participants are stable at program exit. Agency addresses how it will ensure participants have long-term stability including access to ongoing supportive services, affordable housing/subsidies, etc. 5-7 points: Agency describes commitment to ensuring participants do not return to homelessness but strategies are unclear or limited. Agency has limited experience in stabilizing clients. 2-4 points: Agency commits to ensuring participants will not return to homelessness but does not give any concrete details. 0-1 points: Answer is not applicable to the question or does not give details.	

TH and RRH Project Applicants Only:

TH and RRH Only: Does your agency currently, or has it previously operated a TH, RRH, or other homeless project where at least 50% of participants exited to a positive destination within 24 months, and at least 50% exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS? Or is there a plan to ensure these same outcomes? Please describe. (250 word limit)
10 points: Clearly demonstrates strong historical performance meeting or exceeding both benchmarks (≥50% positive exits within 24 months and ≥50% employment income exits) with HMIS data or credible performance reporting. If no history, provides a detailed, realistic, and evidence-based plan to achieve these outcomes. Includes specific strategies such as housing stabilization services, employment supports, partnerships (workforce agencies, employers), coordinated entry alignment, and strong case management. Clearly explains tracking methods, performance monitoring, and continuous improvement. 8-9 points: Shows strong performance history or a well-developed plan likely to meet both benchmarks. Includes relevant strategies and some data or metrics, but may lack full HMIS specificity, depth of benchmarking, or detailed monitoring systems. 6-7 points: Provides either partial performance evidence or a general plan. Mentions key strategies for housing and employment outcomes but lacks strong data, specificity, or clear assurance of meeting both benchmarks. 4-5 points: Provides minimal performance information or a basic plan. Limited connection to achieving both required thresholds. Weak detail on employment and housing exit strategies or performance tracking. 2-3 points: Response is vague, lacks credible performance data, and does not clearly explain how outcomes will be achieved. Minimal understanding of required benchmarks.

1 point: Does not meaningfully address performance history or outcomes plan.
0 points: No response or does not address the question.

For Reviewer Use Only

Applicant Budget submitted is feasible, cost-effective, and allowable. (5 points)	☐ Yes ☐ No
---	--



CoC NY-513 - FLACRA

2026 Renewal Project Application Scoring Rubric

Applications are due July 15, 2026 by 5pm

Single Project Applications must be submitted in a single PDF via email to Bob Doeblin:

rdoebelin@genevaha.com

E-Snaps applications are due August 11, 2026 by 5pm

Organization Name:	
Project Name:	

Contact Person	
	Phone Number
	Email

Project Type (check correct box)	
Transitional housing – Rapid Re-Housing (TH-RRH)	
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	

Renewal Competition Selection: (contact Jessica to schedule a time to speak to the CoC asap)	☐ Submit project as it exists now for renewal in the local competition ☐ Use transition grant option to switch to Transitional Housing Project component, please use this application to apply as the project component type that you intend to change it to. ☐ Self-reallocate all funds
---	---

Threshold Requirements:

All projects are required to meet or agree with threshold requirements to be considered for funding.

The agency has reviewed all new HUD priorities outlined in the NOFO	☐ Yes ☐ No
Awards under this NOFO will not be used to engage in illegal racial discrimination, including racial preferences.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Awards under this NOFO will not be distributed in a way that violates or otherwise is used to interfere with constitutional protections guaranteed for speech and religious beliefs and the free exercise of religion.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Awards made under this NOFO will not be used to fund any project, service provider, or organization that operates illegal drug injection sites of “safe consumption sites” in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 856, knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control in violation of 21 U.S.C. 856(a)(2), or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia in violation of 21 USC 863. This is not a requirement that program participants must be sober in order to receive assistance, participate in treatment in order to receive assistance, or be evicted or exited from assistance for a first-time violation of a drug-related program policy or lease requirement.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.332(b)(2), all agreements or contracts made with subrecipients under this NOFO must contain the same terms and conditions as those in the grant agreement issued	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

by HUD. Any conflicting terms and conditions must be approved by HUD.	
Applicant is a non-profit organization, including a faith based organization, with active 501(c)3 status, a public housing authority, a local government organization, or another eligible entity as specified in the NOFO.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Applicant agrees to uphold protections of VAWA (§ 5.2005 VAWA protections) including: confidentiality protections, use of emergency transfer plans, and regular staff training regarding VAWA.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Applicant agrees to engage in technical assistance with FLACRA when needed and monthly program meetings to review data errors, case management documentation and services, utilization, spend down, and outcomes.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Applicant has attached the management letter from the agency's most recent fiscal audit demonstrating that the agency is in good standing.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
CoC Membership: The applicant has a current Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with CoC NY-513 or is submitting an MOU with this application. (Templates will be posted on the CoC section of FLACRA's website.)	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

If agency does not meet threshold requirements, stop scoring. Agency is not eligible for CoC funding.

Population(s) served:

Specialty Populations Served	☐ Children and youth (3 pts) ☐ Families (2 pts) ☐ Veterans (2 pts) ☐ Survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking (2 pts) ☐ Justice System Re-entry (2 pts) ☐ High utilizers of healthcare systems (2 pts) ☐ Aging and elderly (2 pts) ☐ Chronically homeless (CH) (2 pts)
------------------------------	--

Please describe the agency's unique ability to serve the above population(s) and share any relevant data to support your response. (Please limit to 250 words.) (5 pts)	4-5 points: Discusses the population served and the agency's ability to best serve this population with the funding requested. Provides multiple data points to support response. Demonstrates a history of serving this population. 2-3 points: Limited description with limited data provided. 0-1 points: Population served description is not clear or fully thought out. No data is provided.
Will your project require program participant engagement in supportive services (i.e., substance use and/or mental health treatment, etc.) as a condition of continued participation in the program? (5 pts)	☐ Yes ☐ No 4-5 points: Supportive service agreement provided. Addresses pathways to supportive services. 2-3 points: Limited description, no service agreement shared. 0-1 points: Will not require supportive services (for PSH/RRH only; TH is required).

Narrative Response Questions

Supportive Service Provision (10 points)	Describe how your project provides the treatment and services that people need to recover and regain self-sufficiency (including on-site behavioral health treatment, robust wraparound supportive services, and participation requirements for these services). If not on-site, provide the partnerships and corresponding MOUs for providing this care. (please limit to 250 words)
If you are applying for a transition grant to change your project component to a Transitional Project, describe how the project will meet service provision requirements. If you are applying for a renewal project to keep your current component type in the competition, describe how your project will implement service agreements. 8-10 points: Supportive services are provided on-site, agency policies demonstrate shared services for participants. Description is provided on how this occurs within the agency and shows history of care. 5-7 points: Supportive services are provided off-site, but MOUs and partnership agreements are shared with this application. Description of linkages is strong and shows history of care. 2-4 points: Supportive services will be provided off-site, no MOUs or partnership agreements are shared with this application. Can provide narrative of referrals. 0-1 points: Does not fully respond to the question, no MOUs or partnership agreements are shared, can't provide narrative of referrals, but can demonstrate a plan to provide this requirement.	
Knowledge shared from persons who were previously or currently homeless (5 points)	How will or how does your program involve people who were formerly homeless? (i.e., employment/volunteer opportunities, peer support, mentorship, members of Board/Advisory Committees,

	etc.) (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Agency has one or more individual(s) involved with the program who has lived experience 2-3 points: Agency has plans, and can produce evidence of a plan, to ensure one or more individual(s) involved with the program has lived experience 0-1 points: Agency has no individuals involved with the program with lived experience.	
Minimizing Trauma (5 points)	Describe how your program minimizes the trauma associated with homelessness. This could include trauma informed care services, ensuring participant safety in programs, especially for youth and survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Agency demonstrates the ability to minimize trauma as described in the question above. 2-3 points: Agency has plans, and can produce evidence of a plan, to ensure the program will minimize trauma as described in the question above. 0-1 points: Agency does not have the ability to provide this level of care and does not have a plan in place to provide this level of care.	
Addressing the Goals of the NOFO (10 points)	How will your project advance the goals described in the NOFO? (Beginning on page 28) This includes optimizing self-sufficiency, reducing homelessness, prioritizing treatment and recovery, advancing public safety, and minimizing the trauma caused to communities as a whole by homelessness.
8-10 points: Agency demonstrates the ability, capacity, and prior experience to improve outcomes as stated in the question and can demonstrate this through data, policies, and any other relevant narrative. 5-7 points: Components of the response are missing and the response is limited (i.e., data is not provided, policies are not provided, etc.). 3-6 points: Agency has plans, and can produce evidence of a plan, to ensure the program will improve outcomes as described in the question above. Much ability, capacity, and prior experience narrative is missing. 2-0 points: Agency does not have the ability to improve outcomes and does not have a plan in place to improve outcomes as described in the question above.	

All performance data is for 10/1/24-9/30/25.

Financial data is for the most recently completed Fiscal Year.

Monitoring score is for the most recent monitoring visit.

Utilization: 10 points 3 points for utilization rate $\geq 85\%$ in 2023 3 points for utilization rate $\geq 85\%$ in 2024 3 points for utilization rate $\geq 85\%$ in 2025 1 bonus point if $\geq 85\%$ all three years	Projected households served during average PIT: _____ Utilization rate from APRs: 2023: 2024: 2025: Households Average Actual/ Projected = Utilization %
Data Quality: 10 points 2 points for each	Were the following error rates below 5% for APR Q6 from 10/1/24-9/30/25? Personally, Identifying Information (6a) ☐ Yes ☐ No Universal Data Elements (6b) ☐ Yes ☐ No Income and Housing Data Quality (6c) ☐ Yes ☐ No Chronic Homelessness (6d) ☐ Yes ☐ No Were 95% of entries added into HMIS within 72 hours or less? (6e) ☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Housing Placement & Retention: 10 points For PSH, 4 points if $\geq 93\%$ For RRH, 4 points if $\geq 50\%$ 6 points if $\geq 20\%$	For PSH, what percentage of clients served from 10/1/24-9/30/25 either stayed in the project or exited to a permanent housing destination? (APR Q5a#8 Stayers, Q23c Exiting to housing destinations) Equation to use: (Positive housing destinations + Stayers) / (Total Participants – Persons excluded) For RRH, of the clients who exited your project from 10/1/24-9/30/25, what percentage exited to a permanent housing destination? (APR Q23c) For all projects, what percentage of exits from 10/1/24-9/30/25 were to unsubsidized housing? (APR 23c – “Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy” or “Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy.”)
Employment Income Growth: 10 points 5 points if $\geq 20\%$	What percentage of clients enrolled in your program from 10/1/24-9/30/25 increased their employment income (APR Q19a1 and Q19a2)? What percentage of clients who exited your

5 points if $\geq 25\%$	program from 10/1/24-9/30/25 exited with an increase in employment income? (Within the “Number of Adults with Earned Income” Rows in Q19a1 & 19a2) Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain + Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit, Average Gain/Total Adults in Q19a1 + Total Adults in Q19a2
Returns to Homelessness: (FLACRA will calculate this) 10 points 5 points if $\leq 7\%$, 2 points if $\leq 11\%$ 5 points if $\leq 8\%$, 2 points if $\leq 16\%$	Percentage of those exiting to permanent housing between 10/1/22-9/30/2023 that returned to homelessness in two years or less. Percentage returning to homelessness within 1 year: _____ Percentage returning to homelessness within 2 years: _____
Self-Sufficiency: 5 points (page 61)	Describe assessments that your agency uses with your clients to develop a service plan that helps to increase self-sufficiency and leads to long term economic independence. Describe how these assessments have shown improvement in these areas over time.
4-5 points: Description of service plan and corresponding assessments is aligned with program requirements and show the ability to increase self-sufficiency, economic independence, and long-term improvement. 1-3 points: Components are missing from service plan or assessment description and/or not well thought-out and/or does not show improvement. 0 points: No service plan or assessment description provided.	
APR Submission: 4 points 1 point if “Yes” for 2023 1 point if “Yes” for 2024 1 point if “Yes” for 2025 1 bonus point if “Yes” for all three years	Was the project’s APR submitted on time for the following years? 2023 ☐ Yes ☐ No 2024 ☐ Yes ☐ No 2025 ☐ Yes ☐ No
Monitoring: 5 points	Were there significant findings for your project during CoC (both HUD and local CoC) monitoring

Yes – 5 points No – 0 points	over the last three years? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Drawdown Efficiency: (7 points) 5 points if $\geq 95\%$ 2 points if “Yes”	What percentage of HUD expenditures were drawn down from the project's APR for the year ending 2025? Did the project draw down funds at least once per quarter for the year ending 2025? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Cost of Success rate: (10 points) For PSH Projects Only: In Sage, in the box on right Performance Spotlight click the Positive Housing percentage that coincides with the correct grant year. Put Total Stayers under Remained In Housing. For RRH, TH Projects Only: In Sage, in the box on right Performance Spotlight click the Utilization percentage that coincides with the correct grant year. Put Total Units In Grant under Remained In Housing. Below 1 standard deviation from mean=10 points Within 1 standard deviation of mean=5 Greater than 1 standard deviation from mean=0 points OR <5,000=10 points 5-7,000=7 points Average=5 points (7-8,000) 8-10,000=3 points >10,000=0 points	HUD grant amount divided by the number of households with a successful exit or remained stable in PH from the 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025 APR:
Participation in the CoC: (5 points) 100% participation - 5 points 75% participation - 4 points 50% participation - 3 points 25% participation - 2 points 0% participation - 0 points	Did the agency participate in half or more CoC or subcommittee meetings in the past 12 months? ☐ Yes ☐ No

The Agency takes 100% of referrals from CE if receiving rental assistance or leasing funds (5 points) 5 points – Yes or N/A 0 points – No	☐ Yes or N/A ☐ No
Mitigating Factors: Please explain any outcomes that you think do not accurately portray your program or anything you want the reviewers to know about your program not covered in the application questions. (Please be specific and keep the word count total under 500 words) (5 points)	

Total score: ____/____148____

Continuum of Care Written Standard for Rankings & Ratings Protocol NY-513

I. Purpose & Process

FLACRA is the designated U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Continuum of Care (CoC) Collaborative Applicant for Ontario, Seneca, Yates, and Wayne Counties. Through this designation, FLACRA is required to establish protocols for the (CoC) Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), which includes a transparent framework for its annual scoring, ranking, and approval process. To best serve our community members with effective projects, those that most closely align with HUD and CoC priorities will be prioritized for funding. This document will be reviewed and approved by FLACRA staff, its Board of Directors, and the CoC Board of Directors as outlined below.

The CoC Board of Directors consists only of non-conflicted CoC Members (i.e., does not receive CoC funding). Please see Appendix A for a list of the CoC Board Members. The duties include reviewing and ranking all applications to the HUD CoC NOFO. The Board also works with People with Lived Experience and Expertise to obtain their feedback on community priorities and application questions.

The CoC's Program Monitoring Committee, is tasked with conducting an annual on-site monitoring visit to each HUD CoC-funded project. FLACRA and Wayne County Community Action Program (CAP) conduct site visits for one another. Projects are evaluated for performance, achieving the outcomes specified in the grant application through the review of participant files, policies and procedures, data quality, Coordinated Entry usage, and case management entries. Additional documents, such as homeless and/or disability documentation, housing inspection forms, and rent calculations, are also reviewed. The plan is to grow this committee to include additional community members.

Approximately 1-2 Committee members attend site visits. Committee members may speak with project staff if they have questions or need to find specific documents, etc. Site visits occur annually following the end of the program's contract year, so they can review the project's Annual Performance Report (APR) to have a point of reference to evaluate performance.

Committee members calculate their scores for the section(s) of the monitoring report that they were assigned and are provided to FLACRA staff. Once all scores are tabulated, FLACRA will send a copy of the completed Monitoring form to the Committee Members for their feedback. At the conclusion of the process, the Committee refers to the relevant agency staff any competitive project requiring improvement.

FLACRA and Wayne County CAP staff provide performance improvement support to projects referred to staff by the Committee. After an appropriate time, as agreed upon by the Committee, the Committee conducts a performance review of each project that has received performance improvement support.

The Committee refers to the Board of Directors any project that has received performance improvement support but has not shown an improvement. The Committee will include its recommendations with a referral to the CoC Board.

This process is consistent with HUD regulations through 24 CFR 578.7(a) that “The Continuum must: Consult with recipients and subrecipients to establish performance targets appropriate for population and program type, monitor recipient and subrecipient performance, evaluate outcomes, and take action against poor performers; and evaluate outcomes of projects funded under the Emergency Solutions Grants program and the Continuum of Care program, and report to HUD.”

Monitoring, application review, and ranking inform HUD’s CoC program about which projects are high-performing, effective, and deserve to receive annual funding in order to best serve the homeless population in Ontario, Seneca, Wayne, and Yates counties.

II. New Project Application Process

Annually, FLACRA issues new applications for agencies seeking HUD CoC funding. Applications are publicly distributed through a local RFP process and are advertised on the Finger Lakes Housing Consortium, FLACRA’s listserv, social media and the CoC’s website. Application instructions are also distributed alongside the applications. The application instructions include all relevant due dates, threshold requirements, submission protocol, and attachment requirements.

New funding can be created in two ways: through bonus funding issued by HUD during the CoC competition, which is communicated by HUD and FLACRA (amounts, eligible priorities, etc.), and through current CoC funding that has been reallocated from a current project, which will add funding for any new and eligible projects. This will be further discussed in this document.

New funding applications also encompass expansion applications for existing CoC projects. Only projects that scored in Tier 1 in the previous year’s competition are eligible to apply for an expansion of the existing project. Projects that had a significant finding during CoC annual monitoring are ineligible to submit expansion applications. Significant findings include a history of unspent funds and/or misuse of funds, non-compliance in serving the target population, significant data errors, and unwillingness to accept technical assistance from the CoC.

Applications are split into three sections: threshold requirements, program design narrative, and performance measures. Projects and agencies must meet threshold requirements to be considered in the funding competition. Program design and performance measures will be used to determine ranking in the funding competition.

III. Renewal Project Application Process

Renewal projects are scored according to the rubric described in the renewal application. Renewal projects are scored on HUD compliance, CoC compliance, program narratives, performance measures, and monitoring scores.

Much of the information in the renewal application is reported to HUD on the Annual Performance Report (APR), during project monitoring by CoC staff and CoC Board, or is otherwise available through HMIS. Projects serving domestic violence survivors are asked to submit data in a CSV from their comparable database for the renewal process. *As of June 2026, the CoC does not have a DV CoC-funded agency.

Projects that have been operational for less than an entire program year will receive full points for performance measures.

The CoC-funded projects' monitoring scores are compiled into a Scoring Report by CoC staff and made available to all funded projects as soon as the funding competition opens. Monitoring scores are a combination of information received from annual monitoring site visits and projects' APRs.

The Scoring Report will be released to all funded projects at the same time as the new application. Projects will have until the application due date to review and dispute or accept the Scoring Report and respond to narrative questions.

If a project seeks to dispute the competition report, project representatives can give written or verbal justification of the dispute to the CoC Board, which will then vote to accept or reject the dispute.

IV. Review & Selection Process

The CoC Board reviews and scores all renewal and new applications. The CoC Board is given a detailed rubric and reports scores through email. Scores are compiled and reviewed in a CoC Board meeting to ensure accuracy and limit discrepancies between reviewers.

Projects that fail to meet threshold requirements as outlined in the local application will be held out of the competition. These projects may request that the CoC provide them with technical assistance to assist in improving their application for future competitions. This process ensures that organizations that may lack the current capacity to receive a federal grant can build their capacity for a future year.

All renewal projects will be selected to move forward in the competition, unless subject to reallocation. The reallocation process can be found in Section V.

All projects will receive written notice of the outcome of the initial review process and the justification of the decision by email, when possible, no later than 15 days before the CoC Program Competition application submission deadline.

V. Reallocation protocol

Per the CoC Program, "Reallocation is a process that the CoC uses to shift funds in whole or part from existing eligible renewal projects to create one or more new projects without decreasing the CoC's ARD" that will better address the needs of the homeless. Conversely, reallocation can reduce or eliminate funding from existing renewal projects. The CoC Board, comprised of a non-conflicted group (i.e., unfunded) of the CoC, makes final reallocation decisions based on the following:

- Project has not expended 100% of grant funds for two or more consecutive years
 - Unspent funds are reallocated for new projects
- A project voluntarily decides not to renew its project

- A project voluntarily requests its existing program(s) funding to be reallocated to create a new project that meets community needs.
- A program has a history of not meeting performance measures and has not followed through a performance improvement plan. This may include both outcome measures and utilization.
- A project that has deficiencies in the ongoing operation of the programs.
- Projects have been in the bottom 40% of CoC Ranking (i.e., Tier 2 for FY26) due to low performance for two or more consecutive years, as evidenced by the project's Annual Performance Report, performance measures, and CoC Ranking Process.
- An agency does not complete the local renewal application process.

VI. Ranking Protocol

A project ranking list is generated using scores outlined in Sections IV and V of this Ranking Protocol, in alignment with HUD and local CoC priorities. Projects that have passed threshold requirements and have been selected for funding are ranked based on application score and local priority. They will then be placed into Tier 1 until all Tier 1 funds are allocated. The remaining projects selected for funding will be ranked and placed into Tier 2 until all Tier 2 funds are allocated.

In the event of a tie for project ranking, the CoC Board will base decisions on HUD and community-wide priorities regarding target population, past project performance, and the overall quality of the local application.

The Planning Grant is not ranked in the NOFO process. HMIS Grants will not be scored and protected due to the necessity of the projects to the operation of the CoC and will be ranked in Tier 1. They are, however, monitored by the Project Monitoring Committee and reviewed for usage of funds, project efficacy, and written standards, current and upheld.

Once the Board has ranked applicants' renewal applications, the preliminary ranking will be emailed to all member agencies, with specific scoring forwarded to the related applicant. If there are no successful appeals, the preliminary ranking becomes the final ranking.

Tier 1 and Tier 2 structures will be reviewed with the CoC Board of Directors. Recommendations of the Board will be voted on no later than 15 days prior to the CoC submission deadline.

The final Consolidated Application, which includes the Project Priority List and the Collaborative Application, will be posted publicly no later than 48 hours prior to its submission.

An applicant may challenge the Board's recommendation by emailing the Co-Chair of the CoC Board, Bob Doeblin, with a Notice of Appeal as outlined in section VII.

VII. Appeals Process

An applicant must submit a request for an appeal in writing to the Board within three days of notification regarding their project. Possible grounds for appeal are: the applicant was denied the right to participate in or eliminated from the local application process; the applicant believes that decisions made regarding

their ranking, amount of awarded funding, or elimination of their program were unsubstantiated based on project performance or other factors that can be documented. Decisions made regarding applications that were not submitted by the deadline(s) or were incomplete cannot be appealed.

The total score for the application is provided to the Applicant at the time of award notification. At no time during the appeals process will the scores of individual reviewers be discussed. At all points during the Appeal process, the discussion will be respectful and fact-based.

The Appeal Process will be a discussion between the Applicant and the CoC Board. The applicant will present their case, which will be followed by questions and discussion. The intended goal of this phase is to reach an agreement on a resolution of all appealable issues presented.

If Applicant is still not in agreement with the results of the discussion, they should review the HUD NOFO and follow instructions on how and on what grounds they can make an appeal to HUD. Should the project decide to pursue a formal appeal to HUD, the applicant will be referred to page 116 of the FY2026 NOFO to follow HUD's appeals process and how to submit a solo application outside of the CoC.

NOTE: Appeals will only be considered in cases where applicants have concerns specific to the review process and scoring of their application. Appeals specific to the ranking or funding recommendation will not be considered. All notices of appeal must be based on the information submitted by the application due date. No new or additional information will be considered. Omissions in the application cannot be appealed.

Appendix A

CoC Board of Directors Listing

Soldier On
City of Geneva Police Department
Wayne County Sheriffs Department
Ithaca Neighborhood Housing Services (INHS)
Ontario County Department of Social Services
Geneva Housing Authority
Lakeview Health Services
Safe Harbors of the Finger Lakes



Finger Lakes Community Action

A division of Wayne County Action Program, Inc.

Helping people...Changing lives.

Janelle Krasucki-Cooper
Chief Executive Officer

Donna M. Robbins
Chief Operating Officer

Lou M. Martino
Chief Financial Officer

Finger Lakes Housing Consortium
c/o FLACRA
28 East Main Street
Clifton Springs, NY 14432

August 7, 2026

To Jennifer Carlson, Chairperson of the BOD of CoC NY-513

Wayne County Action Program, Inc., dba Finger Lakes Community Action, wishes to reallocate its traditional funds, totaling \$48,123, to the Finger Lakes Housing Consortium as a whole.

We are doing this as our current TH-RRH program does not meet the new definitions for such programming as per the current NOFO.

It is our intent to make these funds available to other Finger Lakes Housing Consortium agencies to provide services for the homeless population within our geographic reach.

Sincerely,

Janelle K. Cooper
CEO Wayne County Action Program, Inc.



Finger Lakes Community Action is
a 2023 Top Workplace!



51 Broad St., Lyons NY 14489
fingerlakescommunityaction.org

Phone: 315-333-4155 Fax: 315-871-4017
info@waynecap.org



Finger Lakes Housing Consortium

August 7, 2026

Jennifer Carlson
Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency
28 East Main Street
Clifton Springs, NY, 14432

Dear Jennifer,

On behalf of the NY-513 Continuum of Care Board, I am writing to inform you that the Board has approved and ranked your renewal application for the following Finger Lakes Community Action Program project(s):

Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency: \$597,892

Please find the attached ranking, which identifies your project(s) and their placement within the NY-513 Continuum of Care Consolidated Application.

As a reminder, please submit your e-snaps application by August 11, 2026.

Thank you for your continued partnership and commitment to serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness throughout Ontario, Seneca, Wayne, and Yates Counties. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Bob Doeblin
Co-Chair
NY-513 Continuum of Care Board

**NY-513 Continuum of Care Local Competition
Final Ranking**

Tier	Ranking	Application Score	Agency Name	Project Name	Project Type	Project Status	Renewal / New	CoC Funding Requested	Reallocated Funds +/-
Tier 1									
	1	Not scored per CoC policies	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	HMIS	HMIS	Accepted	Renewal	\$22,176.00	-
	2	127	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Wayne County Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	Accepted	Renewal	\$140,494.00	-
	3 (straddles)	121	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Transitional Housing	TH	Accepted	New	\$71,290.00	+\$48,123.00*
Tier 2									
	3 (straddles)	121	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Transitional Housing	TH	Accepted	New	\$103,947.00	-
	4	118	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Shelter Plus Care for the Chronically Homeless	PSH	Accepted	Renewal	\$179,140.00	-
Reallocated									
	N/A	N/A - reallocated prior to ranking	Wayne County Action Program, Inc.	Success Center TH-RRH	Joint TH-RRH	Fully Reallocated	Renewal	\$0.00	-\$48,123.00
Unranked									
	N/A	N/A	Finger Lakes Area Counseling & Recovery Agency	Planning Grant		Accepted	New	\$80,845.00	-
			Totals						\$597,892

Total Amount Requested from HUD	\$597,892.00
Estimated ARD	\$389,933.00
PPRN	\$1,616,907.00
FPRN	\$1,616,907.00
DV Bonus	\$323,381.00
CoC Bonus	\$500,000.00
CoC Planning	\$80,845.00
UFA Costs	\$48,507.00
Tier 1	\$233,960.00