



CoC NY-513 - FLACRA

2026 Renewal Project Application Scoring Rubric

Applications are due July 15, 2026 by 5pm

Single Project Applications must be submitted in a single PDF via email to Bob Doeblin:

rdoebelin@genevaha.com

E-Snaps applications are due August 11, 2026 by 5pm

Organization Name:	
Project Name:	

Contact Person	
Phone Number	
Email	

Project Type (check correct box)	
Transitional housing – Rapid Re-Housing (TH-RRH)	
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	

Renewal Competition Selection: (contact Jessica to schedule a time to speak to the CoC asap)	☐ Submit project as it exists now for renewal in the local competition
	☐ Use transition grant option to switch to Transitional Housing Project component, please use this application to apply as the project component type that you intend to change it to.
	☐ Self-reallocate all funds

Threshold Requirements:

All projects are required to meet or agree with threshold requirements to be considered for funding.

The agency has reviewed all new HUD priorities outlined in the NOFO	☐ Yes ☐ No
Awards under this NOFO will not be used to engage in illegal racial discrimination, including racial preferences.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Awards under this NOFO will not be distributed in a way that violates or otherwise is used to interfere with constitutional protections guaranteed for speech and religious beliefs and the free exercise of religion.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Awards made under this NOFO will not be used to fund any project, service provider, or organization that operates illegal drug injection sites of "safe consumption sites" in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 856, knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control in violation of 21 U.S.C. 856(a)(2), or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia in violation of 21 USC 863. This is not a requirement that program participants must be sober in order to receive assistance, participate in treatment in order to receive assistance, or be evicted or exited from assistance for a first-time violation of a drug-related program policy or lease requirement.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.332(b)(2), all agreements or contracts made with subrecipients under this NOFO must contain the same terms and conditions as those in the grant agreement issued	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

by HUD. Any conflicting terms and conditions must be approved by HUD.	
Applicant is a non-profit organization, including a faith based organization, with active 501(c)3 status, a public housing authority, a local government organization, or another eligible entity as specified in the NOFO.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Applicant agrees to uphold protections of VAWA (§ 5.2005 VAWA protections) including: confidentiality protections, use of emergency transfer plans, and regular staff training regarding VAWA.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Applicant agrees to engage in technical assistance with FLACRA when needed and monthly program meetings to review data errors, case management documentation and services, utilization, spend down, and outcomes.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
Applicant has attached the management letter from the agency's most recent fiscal audit demonstrating that the agency is in good standing.	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
CoC Membership: The applicant has a current Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with CoC NY-513 or is submitting an MOU with this application. (Templates will be posted on the CoC section of FLACRA's website.)	☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

If agency does not meet threshold requirements, stop scoring. Agency is not eligible for CoC funding.

Population(s) served:

Specialty Populations Served	☐ Children and youth (3 pts) ☐ Families (2 pts) ☐ Veterans (2 pts) ☐ Survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking (2 pts) ☐ Justice System Re-entry (2 pts) ☐ High utilizers of healthcare systems (2 pts) ☐ Aging and elderly (2 pts) ☐ Chronically homeless (CH) (2 pts)
------------------------------	--

Commented [me1]: These all add up to 17. Unsure if you wanted to add that to the total sum for the application.

Please describe the agency's unique ability to serve the above population(s) and share any relevant data to support your response. (Please limit to 250 words.) (5 pts)	4-5 points: Discusses the population served and the agency's ability to best serve this population with the funding requested. Provides multiple data points to support response. Demonstrates a history of serving this population. 2-3 points: Limited description with limited data provided. 0-1 points: Population served description is not clear or fully thought out. No data is provided.
Will your project require program participant engagement in supportive services (i.e., substance use and/or mental health treatment, etc.) as a condition of continued participation in the program? (5 pts)	☐ Yes ☐ No 4-5 points: Supportive service agreement provided. Addresses pathways to supportive services. 2-3 points: Limited description, no service agreement shared. 0-1 points: Will not require supportive services (for PSH/RRH only; TH is required).

Narrative Response Questions

Supportive Service Provision (10 points)	Describe how your project provides the treatment and services that people need to recover and regain self-sufficiency (including on-site behavioral health treatment, robust wraparound supportive services, and participation requirements for these services). If not on-site, provide the partnerships and corresponding MOUs for providing this care. (please limit to 250 words)
If you are applying for a transition grant to change your project component to a Transitional Project, describe how the project will meet service provision requirements. If you are applying for a renewal project to keep your current component type in the competition, describe how your project will implement service agreements. 8-10 points: Supportive services are provided on-site, agency policies demonstrate shared services for participants. Description is provided on how this occurs within the agency and shows history of care. 5-7 points: Supportive services are provided off-site, but MOUs and partnership agreements are shared with this application. Description of linkages is strong and shows history of care. 2-4 points: Supportive services will be provided off-site, no MOUs or partnership agreements are shared with this application. Can provide narrative of referrals. 0-1 points: Does not fully respond to the question, no MOUs or partnership agreements are shared, can't provide narrative of referrals, but can demonstrate a plan to provide this requirement.	
Knowledge shared from persons who were previously or currently homeless (5 points)	How will or how does your program involve people who were formerly homeless? (i.e., employment/volunteer opportunities, peer support, mentorship, members of Board/Advisory Committees,

	etc.) (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Agency has one or more individual(s) involved with the program who has lived experience 2-3 points: Agency has plans, and can produce evidence of a plan, to ensure one or more individual(s) involved with the program has lived experience 0-1 points: Agency has no individuals involved with the program with lived experience.	
Minimizing Trauma (5 points)	Describe how your program minimizes the trauma associated with homelessness. This could include trauma informed care services, ensuring participant safety in programs, especially for youth and survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. (please limit to 250 words)
4-5 points: Agency demonstrates the ability to minimize trauma as described in the question above. 2-3 points: Agency has plans, and can produce evidence of a plan, to ensure the program will minimize trauma as described in the question above. 0-1 points: Agency does not have the ability to provide this level of care and does not have a plan in place to provide this level of care.	
Addressing the Goals of the NOFO (10 points)	How will your project advance the goals described in the NOFO? (Beginning on page 28) This includes optimizing self-sufficiency, reducing homelessness, prioritizing treatment and recovery, advancing public safety, and minimizing the trauma caused to communities as a whole by homelessness.
8-10 points: Agency demonstrates the ability, capacity, and prior experience to improve outcomes as stated in the question and can demonstrate this through data, policies, and any other relevant narrative. 5-7 points: Components of the response are missing and the response is limited (i.e., data is not provided, policies are not provided, etc.). 3-6 points: Agency has plans, and can produce evidence of a plan, to ensure the program will improve outcomes as described in the question above. Much ability, capacity, and prior experience narrative is missing. 2-0 points: Agency does not have the ability to improve outcomes and does not have a plan in place to improve outcomes as described in the question above.	

All performance data is for 10/1/24-9/30/25.

Financial data is for the most recently completed Fiscal Year.

Monitoring score is for the most recent monitoring visit.

Utilization: 10 points 3 points for utilization rate ≥85% in 2023 3 points for utilization rate ≥85% in 2024 3 points for utilization rate ≥85% in 2025 1 bonus point if ≥85% all three years	Projected households served during average PIT: _____ Utilization rate from APRs: 2023: 2024: 2025: Households Average Actual/ Projected = Utilization %
Data Quality: 10 points 2 points for each	Were the following error rates below 5% for APR Q6 from 10/1/24-9/30/25? Personally, Identifying Information (6a) ☐ Yes ☐ No Universal Data Elements (6b) ☐ Yes ☐ No Income and Housing Data Quality (6c) ☐ Yes ☐ No Chronic Homelessness (6d) ☐ Yes ☐ No Were 95% of entries added into HMIS within 72 hours or less? (6e) ☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Housing Placement & Retention: 10 points For PSH, 4 points if ≥ 93% For RRH, 4 points if ≥ 50% 6 points if ≥ 20%	For PSH, what percentage of clients served from 10/1/24-9/30/25 either stayed in the project or exited to a permanent housing destination? (APR Q5a#8 Stayers, Q23c Exiting to housing destinations) Equation to use: (Positive housing destinations + Stayers) / (Total Participants – Persons excluded) For RRH, of the clients who exited your project from 10/1/24-9/30/25, what percentage exited to a permanent housing destination? (APR Q23c) For all projects, what percentage of exits from 10/1/24-9/30/25 were to unsubsidized housing? (APR 23c – “Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy” or “Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy.”)
Employment Income Growth: 10 points 5 points if ≥ 20%	What percentage of clients enrolled in your program from 10/1/24-9/30/25 increased their employment income (APR Q19a1 and Q19a2)? What percentage of clients who exited your

5 points if $\geq 25\%$	program from 10/1/24-9/30/25 exited with an increase in employment income? (Within the “Number of Adults with Earned Income” Rows in Q19a1 & 19a2) Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain + Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit, Average Gain/Total Adults in Q19a1 + Total Adults in Q19a2
Returns to Homelessness: (FLACRA will calculate this) 10 points 5 points if $\leq 7\%$, 2 points if $\leq 11\%$ 5 points if $\leq 8\%$, 2 points if $\leq 16\%$	Percentage of those exiting to permanent housing between 10/1/22-9/30/2023 that returned to homelessness in two years or less. Percentage returning to homelessness within 1 year: _____ Percentage returning to homelessness within 2 years: _____
Self-Sufficiency: 5 points (page 61)	Describe assessments that your agency uses with your clients to develop a service plan that helps to increase self-sufficiency and leads to long term economic independence. Describe how these assessments have shown improvement in these areas over time.
4-5 points: Description of service plan and corresponding assessments is aligned with program requirements and show the ability to increase self-sufficiency, economic independence, and long-term improvement. 1-3 points: Components are missing from service plan or assessment description and/or not well thought-out and/or does not show improvement. 0 points: No service plan or assessment description provided.	
APR Submission: 4 points 1 point if “Yes” for 2023 1 point if “Yes” for 2024 1 point if “Yes” for 2025 1 bonus point if “Yes” for all three years	Was the project’s APR submitted on time for the following years? 2023 ☐ Yes ☐ No 2024 ☐ Yes ☐ No 2025 ☐ Yes ☐ No
Monitoring: 5 points	Were there significant findings for your project during CoC (both HUD and local CoC) monitoring

Yes – 5 points No – 0 points	over the last three years? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Drawdown Efficiency: (7 points) 5 points if >= 95% 2 points if “Yes”	What percentage of HUD expenditures were drawn down from the project's APR for the year ending 2025? Did the project draw down funds at least once per quarter for the year ending 2025? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Cost of Success rate: (10 points) For PSH Projects Only: In Sage, in the box on right Performance Spotlight click the Positive Housing percentage that coincides with the correct grant year. Put Total Stayers under Remained In Housing. For RRH, TH Projects Only: In Sage, in the box on right Performance Spotlight click the Utilization percentage that coincides with the correct grant year. Put Total Units In Grant under Remained In Housing. Below 1 standard deviation from mean=10 points Within 1 standard deviation of mean=5 Greater than 1 standard deviation from mean=0 points OR <5,000=10 points 5-7,000=7 points Average=5 points (7-8,000) 8-10,000=3 points >10,000=0 points	HUD grant amount divided by the number of households with a successful exit or remained stable in PH from the 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025 APR:
Participation in the CoC: (5 points) 100% participation - 5 points 75% participation - 4 points 50% participation - 3 points 25% participation - 2 points 0% participation - 0 points	Did the agency participate in half or more CoC or subcommittee meetings in the past 12 months? ☐ Yes ☐ No

The Agency takes 100% of referrals from CE if receiving rental assistance or leasing funds (5 points) 5 points – Yes or N/A 0 points – No	☐ Yes or N/A ☐ No
Mitigating Factors: Please explain any outcomes that you think do not accurately portray your program or anything you want the reviewers to know about your program not covered in the application questions. (Please be specific and keep the word count total under 500 words) (5 points)	
Empty space for Mitigating Factors response	

Total score: ____ / 148